



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

June 11, 2019

Ms. April R. Philley
Counsel for the Lovejoy Independent School District
Eichelbaum Wardell Hansen Powell & Mehl, P.C.
5801 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 360
Plano, Texas 75024

OR2019-15683

Dear Ms. Philley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 770130.

The Lovejoy Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for specified information relating to four named individuals and the salaries for all district employees during a specified time period. You state the district has released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note a portion of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3). The submitted information contains information in an account, contract, or voucher relating to the receipt or expenditure of funds by the district that is subject to section 552.022(a)(3). This information must be released unless it is made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* You seek to withhold the information subject to section 552.022(a)(3) under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is discretionary in nature and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the district may not withhold the information subject to section 552.022(a)(3), which we have marked, under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, because section 552.136 of the Government Code makes information confidential under the Act, we will consider the applicability of this exception to the information at issue.¹ Further, we will consider your argument under section 552.103 for the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(3).

You assert the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(3) is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code, which provides, in part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984,

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a).

For purposes of section 552.103, "litigation" includes contested cases conducted in a quasi-judicial forum. Open Records Decision Nos. 588 at 2 (1991), 474 at 6 (1987) (disciplinary action before Texas State Board of Pharmacy), 368 at 2 (1983) (administrative hearing before Commissioner of Insurance), 301 at 1-2 (1982). Likewise, "contested cases" conducted under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 2001 of the Government Code, constitute "litigation" for purposes of section 552.103. *See, e.g.*, ORD 588 at 7 (State Board of Insurance proceeding), 301 at 2 (hearing before Public Utilities Commission). Factors this office considers in determining whether an administrative proceeding is conducted in a quasi-judicial forum include whether the administrative proceeding provides for discovery, evidence to be heard, factual questions to be resolved, the making of a record, and whether the proceeding is an adjudicative forum of first jurisdiction with appellate review of the resulting decision without a re-adjudication of fact questions. *See* ORD 588 at 3-4.

You state, and provide documentation showing, prior to the receipt of the instant request, a former district employee filed a grievance with the district related to money owed to him under his employment contract with the district. You inform us grievances filed with the district are "litigation" in that the district follows administrative procedures in handling such disputes. You explain the district's grievance process is a multi-level hearing process wherein various administrators and the district's board of trustees hear the grievance. You further inform us these administrative proceedings are recorded, the grievant is allowed to be represented by counsel, and the grievant may present evidence during the proceedings. Additionally, you inform us the grievant must complete the district's grievance process in order to exhaust all administrative remedies before filing suit in court. Based on these representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the district's administrative procedures for grievances are conducted in a quasi-judicial forum and, thus, constitute litigation for purposes of section 552.103. Therefore, we agree litigation was pending when the district received the request. We also find the district has established the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(3) is related to the pending litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, we conclude the district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to all parties to the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

The information subject to section 552.022(a)(3) includes information that is subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). Accordingly, the district must withhold the routing and bank account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code under section 552.103 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the routing and bank account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining information pursuant to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Gerald A. Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/gw

Ref: ID# 770130

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)