



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 28, 2019

Mr. Ricardo R. Lopez
Counsel for the North East Independent School District
Schulman, Lopez, Hoffer & Adelstein, L.L.P.
845 Proton Road
San Antonio, Texas 78258

OR2019-14071

Dear Mr. Lopez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 767519.

The North East Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information related to a named former district teacher. The district claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the district claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 21.355 of the Education Code provides, in relevant part, "[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential[.]" Educ. Code § 21.355(a). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or administrator. *See* Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996). In Open Records Decision No. 643, we determined for purposes of section 21.355, the word "teacher" means a person who is required to and does in fact hold a teaching certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code and who is in the process of teaching, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *See id.* at 4. The Third Court of Appeals has concluded a written reprimand

constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355 because “it reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

The district argues the submitted information consists of confidential evaluations of the named former teacher by the district. The district informs us the named former teacher was certified as a teacher by the State Board of Educator Certification and was acting as a teacher at the time the information was created. Upon review, we find the submitted information consists of evaluations of a teacher by the district. Accordingly, the district must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/gw

Ref: ID# 767519

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)