



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 28, 2019

Mr. Robert L. Galligan
Counsel for the City of Mission
Jones, Galligan, Key & Lozano, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1247
Weslaco, Texas 78599-1247

OR2019-14069

Dear Mr. Galligan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 767343.

The City of Mission (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified report. You claim the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.1085, and 552.142 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim.

We must address the city's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code when requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Pursuant to section 552.301(e) of the Government Code, a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request: (1) written comments stating the reasons why the claimed exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. Gov't Code § 552.301(e). As of the date of this letter, you have not submitted written comments stating the reasons why the claimed exceptions apply or a copy or representative samples of the specific information requested. Consequently, we find the city failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301(e) in requesting this decision from our office.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). Although the city raises exceptions to disclosure, because you have not submitted the requested information for our review, we have no basis for finding any of the information excepted from disclosure. Thus, we have no choice but to order the requested information released pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code. If the city believes the information is confidential and may not lawfully be released, the city must challenge this ruling in court pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Paige Lay
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PS/gw

Ref: ID# 767343

c: Requestor