



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 17, 2019

Mr. James Kopp
Assistant City Attorney
City of San Antonio
315 South Santa Rosa, 6th Floor
San Antonio, Texas 78207

OR2019-13246

Dear Mr. Kopp:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 766292 (COSA File No. W253200).

The City of San Antonio (the "city") received a request for body worn camera recordings pertaining to a specified incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. The submitted information consists of a recording from an officer's body worn camera. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code provides:

¹We assume the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). We note the requestor provided the information required by section 1701.661(a) of the Occupations Code for release of the body worn camera recording at issue. You state the recording at issue was required to be made by law or the policies of the city and relates to a law enforcement purpose. *See id.* § 1701.661(h). We also understand the recording is or could be used as evidence in a criminal prosecution. *See id.* § 1701.661(d). Additionally, we have no indication the recording documents an incident that involves the use of deadly force by an officer or relates to an administrative or criminal investigation of an officer. *See id.* § 1701.660(a). We note, however, section 1701.661(f) provides, in relevant part:

A law enforcement agency may not release any portion of a recording made in a private space . . . without written authorization from the person who is the subject of that portion of the recording or, if the person is deceased, from the person's authorized representative.

Id. § 1701.661(f). Upon review, we find a portion of the video recording at issue was made in a private space. *See id.* § 1701.651(3) (defining "private space" for purposes of section 1701.661(f)). You state the city does not have permission for release from all subjects of the portion of the recording made in a private space. *See id.* § 1701.661(f). Accordingly, we find the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code.² However, the remaining portions of the recording at issue are not confidential under section 1701.661(f), and may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that basis. Therefore, we will address the applicability of other exceptions to disclosure of the information at issue.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has also concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Additionally, a compilation of an individual's criminal history is highly embarrassing information, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person. *Cf. U.S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 764 (1989) (finding significant privacy interest in compilation of individual's criminal history by recognizing distinction between public records found in courthouse files and local police stations and compiled summary of criminal history information). Furthermore, we find a compilation of a private citizen's criminal history is generally not of legitimate concern to the public.

Upon review, we find some of the remaining information, which we indicated, meets the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find no portion of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public concern, and the city may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of common-law privacy.

Section 552.1175 of the Government Code protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals, when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the information confidential.³ Gov't Code § 552.1175. Section 552.1175 applies, in part, to "peace officers" as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure[.]" *Id.* § 552.1175(a)(1). Upon review, we find a portion of the remaining information, which we indicated, may consist of personal information of a peace officer. Thus, to the extent the information at issue relates to a peace officer who elects to restrict access to his information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. If the individual at issue is not a peace officer or does not elect to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), then the information at issue may not be withheld under section 552.1175 of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is

³The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

excepted from public release. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the city must withhold all visible license plates within the remaining information under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.661(f) of the Occupations Code. The city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the information at issue relates to a peace officer who elects to restrict access to his information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. The city must withhold all visible license plates within the remaining information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kieran Hillis
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KH/jxd

Ref: ID# 766292

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)