



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 15, 2019

Mr. Blake E. Armstrong  
Counsel for the Athens Independent School District  
Blake Armstrong, P.C.  
211 East Houston Street  
Tyler, Texas 75702

OR2019-10038

Dear Mr. Armstrong:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 760634 (Request Nos. (66077616 and 66077618)).

The Athens Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received two requests from two requestors for information pertaining to a specified incident. You state the district does not have information responsive to part of one of the requests.<sup>1</sup> You state the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, and 552.117 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental

---

<sup>1</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

<sup>2</sup>Although you do not cite to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code, we understand you to raise this exception based on the substance of your argument.

body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why release of the requested information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper custodian of information relating to a pending investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. *See Open Records Decision No. 474* at 4-5 (1987) (section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper custodian of information relating to pending investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct). Where a non-law enforcement agency has custody of information that would otherwise qualify for exception under section 552.108 as information relating to the pending case of a law enforcement agency, the custodian of the records may withhold the information if it provides this office with a demonstration that the information relates to the pending case and a representation from the law enforcement agency that it wishes to have the information withheld.

You inform us, and provided a letter stating, that the Athens Police Department (the “department”) and the Henderson County District Attorney’s Office (the “district attorney’s office”) object to disclosure of the submitted information because its release would interfere with an ongoing criminal investigation. Based on these representations, we conclude the release of the submitted information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the submitted information. Accordingly, we conclude that the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code on behalf of the department and the district attorney’s office.<sup>3</sup> *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.


This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Patrick P. Mehaffy". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Patrick P. Mehaffy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PPM/gw

Ref: ID# 760634

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors  
(w/o enclosures)