



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 11, 2019

Mr. Adam Bitter  
General Counsel  
Office of the Secretary of State  
P.O. Box 12697  
Austin, Texas 78711-2697

OR2019-09843

Dear Mr. Bitter:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 756839.

The Office of the Secretary of State (the "secretary's office") received a request for seven points of information pertaining to all documents and communications relating to duplicate registration records. We understand you will release some information. You claim some of the submitted information is not subject to the Act. You also argue the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.107, 552.111, 552.136, and 552.139 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

You argue some of the submitted information is not "public information" subject to disclosure under the Act. Section 552.002(a) of the Government Code defines "public information" as information that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

(1) by a governmental body;

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:

(A) owns the information;

(B) has a right of access to the information; or

(C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or

(3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer's or employee's official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

Gov't Code § 552.002(a). In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. You argue some of the submitted information consists of information used solely for the purpose of maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property and has no other significance. Upon review, we conclude the username and password information we marked is not "public information" for purposes of the Act, and the secretary's office is not required to release it in response to this request.<sup>2</sup> The remaining information at issue has significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property. Accordingly, we conclude the remaining information is "public information" as defined by section 552.002, and it is subject to disclosure under the Act. We will address the arguments against disclosure of that information.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate that the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made "to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services" to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the arguments against disclosure of this information.

acting in a capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

The secretary’s office states the marked information consists of confidential communications involving the secretary’s office’s attorneys, staff, and privileged parties. We understand the communications were made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the secretary’s office and the confidentiality of the communications have been maintained. Based on these representations and our review, we find the secretary’s office has demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information at issue. Thus, the secretary’s office may withhold the information you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.<sup>3</sup>

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993)*. The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, writ ref’d n.r.e.); *Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990)*.

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. See ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body's policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; see also *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body's policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body's policy mission. See Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995).

Further, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.); see ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. See Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

This office has also concluded a preliminary draft of a document intended for public release in its final form necessarily represents the drafter's advice, opinion, and recommendation with regard to the form and content of the final document, so as to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. See Open Records Decision No. 559 at 2 (1990) (applying statutory predecessor). Section 552.111 protects factual information in the draft that also will be included in the final version of the document. See *id.* at 2-3. Thus, section 552.111 encompasses the entire contents, including comments, underlining, deletions, and proofreading marks, of a preliminary draft of a policymaking document that will be released to the public in its final form. See *id.* at 2.

Section 552.111 can also encompass communications between a governmental body and a third party, including a consultant or other party with a privity of interest. See Open Records Decision No. 561 at 9 (1990) (section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process). For section 552.111 to apply, the governmental body must identify the third party and explain the nature of its relationship with the governmental body. Section 552.111 is not applicable to a communication between the governmental body and a third party unless the governmental body establishes it has a privity of interest or common deliberative process with the third party. See ORD 561.

You assert some of the remaining information consists of advice, opinions, and recommendations relating to policymaking matters of the secretary's office. You inform us some of the information at issue consists of communications between secretary's office's employees and representatives of PCC Technology, Inc., which you explain is a contractor for the secretary's office and shares a privity of interest with the secretary's office in respect to the communications at issue. Based on your representations and upon our review, we find most of the information you marked constitutes policymaking advice, opinion, and recommendation, or drafts of policymaking documents. However, we find the remaining information at issue consists of information that is administrative or purely factual in nature. Thus, you have failed to demonstrate this information, which we marked for release, is excepted under section 552.111 and the secretary's office may not withhold it on that basis. Accordingly, with the exception of the information we marked for release, the secretary's office may withhold the information you marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code on the basis of the deliberative process privilege.<sup>4</sup>

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). However, upon review, we find you have not explained any of the remaining information consists of a credit card, debit card, or charge card number, or is an access device number used to obtain money, goods, services, or any item of value, or used to initiate the transfer of funds. *See id.* §§ 552.136(a), .301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies). Therefore, we find you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.136 to the remaining information. Accordingly, the secretary's office may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Section 552.139 of the Government Code provides, in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information that relates to computer network security, to restricted information under Section 2059.055 [of the Government Code], or to the design, operation, or defense of a computer network.

(b) The following information is confidential:

(1) a computer network vulnerability report; [and]

(2) any other assessment of the extent to which data processing operations, a computer, a computer program, network, system, or

---

<sup>4</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

system interface, or software of a governmental body or of a contractor of a governmental body is vulnerable to unauthorized access or harm, including an assessment of the extent to which the governmental body's or contractor's electronically stored information containing sensitive or critical information is vulnerable to alteration, damage, erasure, or inappropriate use[.]

*Id.* § 552.139(a), (b)(1)-(2). Section 2059.055 of the Government Code provides, in pertinent part:

(b) Network security information is confidential under this section if the information is:

(1) related to passwords, personal identification numbers, access codes, encryption, or other components of the security system of a state agency[.]

*Id.* § 2059.055(b)(1). You assert the information you marked pertains to technical details of the statewide voter export layout record and relates to the design and operation of the system. Further, you state the information resides on a secured site. Based on your representations and our review of the information, we conclude the secretary's office must withhold the information we marked and indicated under section 552.139 of the Government Code. However, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information relates to computer network security, to restricted information under 2059.055, or to the design, operation, or defense of a computer network as contemplated by section 552.139(a). Accordingly, the secretary's office may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.139(a) of the Government Code.

In summary, the username and password information we marked is not "public information" for purposes of the Act, and the secretary's office is not required to release it in response to this request. The secretary's office may withhold the information you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. With the exception of the information we marked for release, the secretary's office may withhold the information you marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code on the basis of the deliberative process privilege. The secretary's office must withhold the information we marked and indicated under section 552.139 of the Government Code. The secretary's office must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Michelle Case", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

D. Michelle Case  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DMC/mo

Ref: ID# 756839

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)