



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

March 22, 2019

Ms. Tangerla Williams
Temporary Assistant General Counsel
Dallas Area Rapid Transit
P.O. Box 660163
Dallas, Texas 75266-0163

OR2019-08064

Dear Ms. Williams:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 755662 (ORR No. W003439-121318).

Dallas Area Rapid Transit ("DART") received a request for a specified contract. Although DART takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, DART states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Dikita Enterprises.¹ Accordingly, DART states, and provides documentation showing, it notified Dikita Enterprises of the request for information and of the right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.


¹We note, and you acknowledge, DART failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b), (e). Nonetheless, because third party interests can provide can overcome the presumption of disclosure, we will consider whether the submitted information must be withheld under the Act based on third party interests. *See id.* §§.552.001, .302, .352.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Dikita Enterprises explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Dikita Enterprises has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, DART may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Dikita Enterprises may have in the information. Therefore, DART must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jahna Ward
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JW/mo

Ref: ID# 755662

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)