



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 20, 2019

Mr. Ross Laughead
General Counsel
Alamo Colleges District
201 West Sheridan Street, Building C-8
San Antonio, Texas 78204-1429

OR2019-04749

Dear Mr. Laughead:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 751067.

The Alamo Community College District (the "district") received a request for all contracts, service agreements, purchase orders, invoices, RFP responses, and other documents that illustrate the terms of the district's agreement with a named software vendor, as well as payments to the named vendor for services during a specified time period. You state you have released some information to the requestor. Although the district takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, the district states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of eLumen, Inc. ("eLumen"). Accordingly, the district states, and provides documentation showing, it notified eLumen of the request for information and of the right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code

§ 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from eLumen explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude eLumen has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest eLumen may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the district must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lecelle Clarke
Attorney
Open Records Division

LC/eb

Ref: ID# 751067

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party
(w/o enclosures)