



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 17, 2019

Ms. Lindsey Aston
General Counsel
Office of the Secretary of State
P.O. Box 12697
Austin, Texas 78711-2697

OR2019-01523

Dear Ms. Aston:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 746609.

The Office of the Secretary of State (the "secretary's office") received a request for the Secretary of State's calendars during a specified time period. You state you released some information. You inform us the secretary's office will redact certain information subject to section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code pursuant to section 552.024 of the Government Code and section 552.136(c) of the Government Code.¹ You claim parts of the submitted information are not subject to disclosure under the Act. You also argue some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.107 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

¹Section 552.024 of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact from public release a current or former official or employee's home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information excepted from disclosure under section 552.117(a)(1) without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act, if the employee timely elected to withhold such information. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.024(a)-(c), .117(a)(1). Section 552.136 of the Government Code permits a governmental body to withhold the information described in section 552.136(b) without the necessity of seeking a decision from this office. *See id.* § 552.136(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.136(e). *See id.* § 552.136(d), (e).

Initially, we address your claim some of the calendar entries you marked are not subject to the Act. The Act is applicable only to “public information.” *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.002, .021. Section 552.002(a) provides that “public information” consists of the following:

[I]nformation that is collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

- (1) by a governmental body; or
- (2) for a governmental body and the governmental body owns the information or has a right of access to it.

Id. § 552.002(a). Thus, virtually all of the information in a governmental body’s physical possession constitutes public information and, thus, is subject to the Act. *Id.* § 552.002(a)(1); *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 549 at 4 (1990), 514 at 1-2 (1988). You claim the calendar entries you marked are not subject to the Act. You state these entries pertain to personal medical information. You state the marked entries are not related to the Secretary of State’s status as a secretary or to the business of the secretary’s office and the entries are not collected, assembled, or maintained in connection with the transaction of official business. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we conclude the calendar entries you marked do not constitute public information for the purposes of section 552.002. *See* Open Records Decision No. 635 at 4 (1995) (section 552.002 not applicable to personal information unrelated to official business and created or maintained by state employee involving *de minimis* use of state resources). Therefore, the calendar entries you marked are not subject to the Act, and the secretary’s office need not release them in response to this request.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally private. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (employee’s designation of retirement beneficiary, choice of insurance carrier, election of optional coverages, direct deposit authorization, forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

insurance, health care or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989) (common-law privacy protects credit reports, financial statements, and other personal financial information), 455 at 9 (1987) (employment applicant's salary information not private), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). Upon review, we find the calendar entry you marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Thus, the secretary's office must withhold the calendar entry you marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made "to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services" to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a confidential communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was "not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication." *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state the calendar entries you marked document or consist of communications between the Secretary of State, executive staff of the secretary's office, and general counsel that were made for the purpose of providing legal advice to the secretary's office. You also state the

communications to which the calendar entries pertain and the calendar entries themselves were made in confidence and have remained confidential. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the calendar entries you marked. Accordingly, the secretary's office may withhold the calendar entries you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

In summary, the calendar entries the secretary's office has marked are not subject to the Act, and the secretary's office need not release them in response to this request. The secretary's office must withhold the calendar entry you marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The secretary's office may withhold the calendar entries you marked under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. The secretary's office must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Emily Buchanan
Attorney
Open Records Division

EB/mo

Ref: ID# 746609

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)