



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 21, 2017

Mr. James Kopp  
Assistant City Attorney  
Office of the City Attorney  
City of San Antonio  
P.O. Box 839966  
San Antonio, Texas 78283-3966

OR2017-29068

Dear Mr. Kopp:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 689764 (ORR# W187506).

The City of San Antonio (the "city") received a request for all police reports pertaining to a specified address during a specified time period.<sup>1</sup> The city states it will release some of the requested information. The city claims some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception the city claims and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the federal Driver's Privacy Protection Act of 1994 (the "DPPA"), section 2721 of title 18 of the United States Code. Section 2721 provides, in part:

---

<sup>1</sup>The city states it sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

(a) In general.—A State department of motor vehicles, and any officer, employee, or contractor thereof, shall not knowingly disclose or otherwise make available to any person or entity:

(1) personal information, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2725(3), about any individual obtained by the department in connection with a motor vehicle record, except as provided in subsection (b) of this section[.]

...

(b) Permissible uses.—Personal information referred to in subsection (a) . . . may be disclosed as follows:

(1) For use by any government agency . . . in carrying out its functions[.]

...

(c) Resale or redisclosure.—An authorized recipient of personal information (except a recipient under subsection (b)(11) or (12)) may resell or redisclose the information only for a use permitted under subsection (b) (but not for uses under subsection (b)(11) or (12)). . . . Any authorized recipient (except a recipient under subsection (b)(11)) that resells or rediscloses personal information covered by this chapter must keep for a period of 5 years records identifying each person or entity that receives information and the permitted purpose for which the information will be used and must make such records available to the motor vehicle department upon request.

18 U.S.C. § 2721(a)(1), (b)(1), (c). The DPPA defines “motor vehicle record,” in relevant part, as “any record that pertains to a motor vehicle operator’s permit . . . issued by a department of motor vehicles[.]” *Id.* § 2725(1). Section 2725 also defines personal information as “information that identifies an individual, including an individual’s photograph, social security number, driver identification number, name, address (but not the 5-digit zip code), telephone number, and medical or disability information, but does not include information on vehicular accidents, driving violations, and driver’s status.” *See id.* § 2725(3).

The city asserts some of the submitted information, which it marked, contains personal information obtained from the Texas Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) that is protected under the DPPA. We note this office has concluded that the DPPA applies to information in the possession of DPS. Attorney General Opinion JC-0499 at 1 (2002). The city explains its police department officers obtained the personal information for use in carrying out its functions with regard to law enforcement.

Based upon the city's representations and our review, we find the city, in obtaining personal information from DPS to assist the city in carrying out its law enforcement functions, is an authorized recipient of personal information for purposes of section 2721(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2721(b)(1) (providing that personal information may be disclosed by a state department of motor vehicles to any entity acting on behalf of a Federal, State, or local agency in carrying out its functions). Therefore, we find most of the information the city marked is personal information obtained from DPS by an authorized recipient and is generally confidential under section 2721 of title 18 of the United States Code. However, we note the 5-digit zip codes the city marked do not consist of personal information obtained from the DPS and this information may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis. *See id.* § 2725(3). Further, an authorized recipient of personal information for purposes of section 2721(c) may only resell or redisclose the information for a use permitted under subsection (b), but not for uses under subsections (b)(11) or (b)(12). *See id.* § 2721(c). Section 2721(b)(13) provides for the permissible release of personal information subject to the DPPA if the requestor demonstrates he has obtained the written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains. *See id.* § 2721(b)(13). In this instance, the requestor is one of the individuals to whom the information pertains. Therefore, the city has the discretion to release the requestor's information to her pursuant to subsections 2721(c) and (b)(13) of title 18 of the United States Code. *See id.* § 2721 (b)(13), (c). Otherwise, the city must withhold the requestor's information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 2721 of title 18 of the United States Code. In either case, as we have no indication that release of the remaining information at issue would be for a use permitted under section 2721(b), we conclude with the exception of the 5-digit zip codes, the city must withhold the information it marked pertaining to the other individual under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 2721(a) of title 18 of the United States Code. *See id.* § 2721(a)(1).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Upon review, we agree the information the city marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Therefore, the city must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.


In summary, with the exception of the 5-digit zip codes, the city must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 2721(a) of title 18 of the United States Code; however, the city has the discretion to release the requestor's information to her pursuant to subsections 2721(c) and (b)(13) of

title 18 of the United States Code. The city must withhold the information it marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/som

Ref: ID# 689764

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)