



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 19, 2017

Ms. Hadassah Schloss
Director, Open Government
Texas General Land Office
P.O. Box 12873
Austin, Texas 78711-2873

OR2017-28740

Dear Ms. Schloss:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 688881 (GLO ID# 18-0044).

The Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") received a request for specified statements of work and vendor responses. You state you have released some information to the requestor, including information subject to our ruling in Open Records Letter No. 2017-21393 (2017). *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(a); Open Records Decision No. 673 at 7-8 (2001). Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Ambonare, Inc.; Cooper Consulting; Loblolly Consulting, LLC; Luna Data Solutions; ObjectWin Technology, Inc.; Sense Corp; Sistema Technologies, Inc.; and Vertiba, LLC of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't*

Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the GLO may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in it. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Tim Neal
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TN/tdw

Ref: ID# 688881

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

8 Third Parties
(w/o enclosures)