



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 3, 2017

Ms. Debbie F. Harrison  
Assistant District Attorney  
Civil Division  
County of Collin  
2100 Bloomdale Road, Suite 100  
McKinney, Texas 75071

OR2017-25211

Dear Ms. Harrison:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 684070.

The Collin County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for a specified case file. We understand you will redact social security numbers pursuant to section 552.147(b) of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, 552.111, 552.1325, 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code and privileged under rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. We have considered your submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information consists of a police officer's body worn camera recording. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661 provides, in relevant part, the following:

- (a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not give the requisite information under section 1701.661(a). As the requestor did not properly request the body worn camera recording at issue pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released.<sup>2</sup> However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a “failure to provide all the information required by Subsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information.” *Id.* § 1701.661(b).

Next, we note some of the remaining information consists of grand jury subpoenas. The judiciary is expressly excluded from the requirements of the Act. Gov’t Code § 552.003(1)(B). This office has determined for purposes of the Act, a grand jury is a part of the judiciary, and therefore, not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 411 (1984). Further, records kept by a governmental body that is acting as an agent for a grand jury are considered records in the constructive possession of the grand jury, and are also not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 513 (1988), 411, 398 (1983). Thus, to the extent the district attorney’s office holds the information at issue as an agent of the grand jury, such information consists of records of the judiciary that are not subject to disclosure under the Act and the district attorney’s office is not required to release that information in response to the instant request. To the extent the district attorney’s office does not hold the information at issue as an agent of the grand jury, we will address the district attorney’s office’s arguments against its disclosure.

Next, we note the remaining information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

- (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

---

<sup>2</sup>As we are able to make this determination, we need not address the arguments against disclosure of this information.

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). We note the remaining information consists of a completed investigation subject to subsection 552.022(a)(1). The district attorney's office must release this information pursuant to subsection 552.022(a)(1) unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or expressly made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(1). The district attorney's office seeks to withhold the information subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 of the Government Code and the attorney work product privilege of section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, these exceptions are discretionary exceptions to disclosure that protect a governmental body's interests and do not make information confidential under the Act. *See id.* § 552.007; *Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 677 (2002) (governmental body may waive attorney work product privilege under section 552.111), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the district attorney's office may not withhold the information subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 or section 552.111 of the Government Code. You also raise the attorney work product privilege, which is found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5. The Texas Supreme Court has held “[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure are ‘other law’ within the meaning of section 552.022.” *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). However, the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure apply only to “actions of a civil nature.” *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 2. Thus, because the requested documents relate to a criminal case, the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure does not apply, and the district attorney's office may not withhold the information at issue on that basis. However, as information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld under section 552.108 of the Government Code, we will consider your argument under section 552.108 for the information at issue. Additionally, because sections 552.101, 552.130, 552.1325, and 552.137 of the Government Code can make information confidential under the Act, we will consider the applicability of these exceptions to the information at issue.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code states in pertinent part the following:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:

...

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

...

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

...

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3). A governmental body claiming an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706, 710 (Tex. 1977). In *Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994), the Texas Supreme Court held a request for a district attorney's "entire litigation file" was "too broad" and, quoting *National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Valdez*, 863 S.W.2d 458, 460 (Tex. 1993), held "the decision as to what to include in [the file] necessarily reveals the attorney's thought processes concerning the prosecution or defense of the case." 873 S.W.2d at 380. You state the instant request for information encompasses the district attorney's office's entire prosecution file. You argue release of the requested information would reveal the mental impressions or legal reasoning of prosecutors in the district attorney's office. Upon review, we agree the request seeks the entire prosecution file of the district attorney's office. Accordingly, we conclude sections 552.108(a)(4) and 552.108(b)(3) of the Government Code are applicable to the information at issue.

We note, however, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*. *See* 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). Therefore, with the exception of basic information, the district attorney's office may withhold the submitted

information under sections 552.108(a)(4) and 552.108(b)(3) of the Government Code and the court's ruling in *Curry*.<sup>3</sup>

In summary, as the requestor did not properly request the body worn camera recording at issue pursuant to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. To the extent the grand jury subpoenas are held by the district attorney's office as agent of the grand jury, they constitute records of the judiciary and are not subject to the Act. With the exception of basic information, which must be released, the district attorney's office may withhold the remaining information under sections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) of the Government Code and the court's ruling in *Curry*.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kelly McWethy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KSM/som

Ref: ID# 684070

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.