



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

October 27, 2017

Ms. Kristi Godden  
Counsel for La Joya Independent School District  
O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo  
808 West Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701-2208

OR2017-24571

Dear Ms. Godden:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 682877 (Reference# LJISD-070).

The La Joya Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for specified information related to occupational, physical, and speech therapy providers for the district during 2017-2018. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Believe N Care Rehab Services; Cumberland Therapy Services, LLC; Mediscan, Inc.; One Care Pediatric Home Services; Staffing Options and Solutions, Inc.; and Thera-Kids, Inc. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified these third parties of the requests for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.


We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B)*. As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from

any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude the third parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the third parties may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised for the submitted information, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Emily Buchanan  
Attorney  
Open Records Division

EB/eb

Ref: ID# 682877

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

6 Third Parties  
(w/o enclosures)