



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 28, 2017

Mr. L. Brian Narvaez
Counsel for Town of Prosper
Brown & Hoffmeister, L.L.P.
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2017-22233

Dear Mr. Narvaez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 677466 (Prosper ID No. 2017-089).

The Town of Prosper (the "town"), which you represent, received a request for requestor's personnel file. You state you have released some information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information that is made confidential by statute. Section 261.201(a) of the Family Code provides as follows:

- (a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). You assert the submitted information is subject to section 261.201 of the Family Code. *See id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). However, we find the information at issue relates to an internal affairs investigation by the town. You have not established this information consists of a report of child abuse or neglect nor does the information reveal the identity of an individual who made a report of suspected child abuse or neglect for the purposes of section 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code. Furthermore, we find you failed to establish any of the information at issue was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect under chapter 261 of the Family Code and may not be withheld on the basis of subsection 261.201(a)(2). Therefore, the information at issue is not confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code and it may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate the information at issue relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(2), .301(e)(1)(A). We note section 552.108 is generally not applicable to records of an internal administrative investigation that is purely administrative in nature and does not involve the investigation or prosecution of crime. *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519, 525-26 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 not applicable to internal investigation that did not result in criminal investigation or prosecution). Although you claim the submitted information is subject to section 552.108(a)(2), we note the information at issue consists of an internal affairs investigation conducted by the town and is not information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to a criminal investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. Therefore, you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.108(a)(2) to the information at issue and the town may not withhold it on that basis.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c).¹ See Gov’t Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue do not appear to be the type specifically excluded by subsection (c). Upon review, we find the town must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure. The town must release the remaining information.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jahna Ward
Attorney
Open Records Division

JW/tdw

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

²We note some of the information being released in this instance includes information that is confidential with respect to the general public. See Gov’t Code § 552.023(a) (governmental body may not deny access to person to whom information relates, or that party’s representative, solely on grounds that information is considered confidential by privacy principles); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individuals request information concerning themselves). Because such information is confidential with respect to the general public, if the town receives another request for this information from a different requestor, then the town should again seek a ruling from this office.

Ref: ID# 677466

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)