



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 15, 2017

Ms. Carol McCord  
Open Records Section  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
P.O. Box 13528  
Austin, Texas 78711-3528

OR2017-18564

Dear Ms. McCord:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 671314 (Comptroller ID# 13350156041).

The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "comptroller's office") received a request for seventeen categories of information relating to the comptroller's office's interactions with a named entity.<sup>1</sup> You state you will release some information. You state you will withhold audit working papers pursuant to Open Records Letter No. 2007-10491 (2007), information entered into the comptroller's internal Agency Work Manager system pursuant to Open Records Letter No. 2004-3926 (2004), and e-mail addresses pursuant to Open Records

---

<sup>1</sup>You state the comptroller's office sought and received clarification of the request for information. See Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used); *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380 (Tex. 2010) (holding when governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification of unclear or overbroad request for public information, ten-business-day period to request attorney general opinion is measured from date request is clarified or narrowed).

Decision No. 684.<sup>2</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative samples of information.<sup>3</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. Section 111.006(a)(2) of the Tax Code provides that information “secured, derived, or obtained by the comptroller or the attorney general during the course of an examination of the taxpayer’s books, records, papers, officers, or employees, including an examination of the business affairs, operations, source of income, profits, losses, or expenditures of the taxpayer” is confidential. Tax Code § 111.006(a)(2).

The supreme court considered the applicability of section 111.006 to several categories of information in *A & T Consultants, Inc. v. Sharp*, 904 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1995). In doing so, the court not only considered if the information was derived from the taxpayer’s records, but also whether the information reveals anything about the taxpayer’s business affairs, operations, financial condition, profits, or losses. *Id.* at 676, 680. The court concluded that the starting and ending dates of an audit are not confidential under section 111.006 because although they may indicate the seriousness of an audit, they “reveal[] nothing about a taxpayer’s business affairs, operations, or profits or losses.” *Id.* at 676. Similarly, the court concluded that while the amounts of deficiencies or refunds are derived from the taxpayer’s records, the fact of a deficiency or refund “reveals nothing about taxpayers except that they miscalculated their tax.” *Id.* at 680; *see id.* at 680 n. 6. Thus, the fact of a deficiency or refund is not confidential under section 111.006.

---

<sup>2</sup>Open Records Letter No. 2007-10491 is a previous determination authorizing the comptroller’s office to withhold audit working papers created or maintained during the course of a tax audit conducted under the authority of section 111.004 of the Tax Code from the public on the basis of section 552.116 without the necessity of again requesting an attorney general decision under the Act. Open Records Letter No. 2004-3926 is a previous determination authorizing the comptroller’s office to withhold comments entered into the comptroller’s internal Agency Work Manager system that are prepared or maintained in conducting audits under section 552.116 of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(a); Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (listing elements of second type of previous determination under section 552.301(a) of the Government Code). Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain information, including an e-mail address of a member of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

<sup>3</sup>We assume that the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

You assert the information you indicated consists of information “secured, derived, or obtained” by the comptroller’s office during the course of examinations of taxpayers. Based on our review of the information at issue and *A&T Consultants*, we conclude the information we marked is confidential under section 111.006 of the Tax Code and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. However, based on our review of the information at issue and *A&T Consultants*, we find none of the remaining information at issue is confidential under section 111.006 because although the information is obtained or derived from a taxpayer’s records, it does not reveal a taxpayer’s business affairs, financial condition, profits, or losses. Accordingly, the comptroller’s office may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 111.006(a)(2) of the Tax Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 151.027 of the Tax Code. Section 151.027 of the Tax Code provides in relevant part:

(a) Information in or derived from a record, report, or other instrument required to be furnished under this chapter is confidential and not open to public inspection, except for information set forth in a lien filed under this title or a permit issued under this chapter to a seller and except as provided by Subsection (c) of this section.

(b) Information secured, derived, or obtained during the course of an examination of a taxpayer’s books, records, papers, officers, or employees, including the business affairs, operations, profits, losses, and expenditures of the taxpayer, is confidential and not open to public inspection except as provided by Subsection (c) of this section.

Tax Code § 151.027(a)-(b). Upon review, you have provided no arguments explaining how the remaining information at issue is information in or derived from a record, report, or other instrument required to be furnished under chapter 151 for purposes of section 151.027(a). Further, information made confidential under section 151.027(b) is co-extensive with information deemed confidential under section 111.006(a)(2). Because we already disposed of your claims under section 111.006, none of the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 151.027(b). Therefore, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on this basis.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a confidential communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state the information you indicated consists of communications between comptroller’s office attorneys and employees that were made for the purpose of providing legal services to the comptroller’s office. You state the communications were intended to be confidential and have remained confidential. Based on your representations and our review, we find the information you indicated consists of privileged attorney-client communications. Therefore, the comptroller’s office may withhold the information you indicated under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993)*. The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, writ ref’d n.r.e.); *Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990)*.

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. *See* ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body's policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; *see also City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body's policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body's policy mission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995).

Further, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.); *see* ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

This office has also concluded a preliminary draft of a document intended for public release in its final form necessarily represents the drafter's advice, opinion, and recommendation with regard to the form and content of the final document, so as to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 559 at 2 (1990) (applying statutory predecessor). Section 552.111 protects factual information in the draft that also will be included in the final version of the document. *See id.* at 2-3. Thus, section 552.111 encompasses the entire contents, including comments, underlining, deletions, and proofreading marks, of a preliminary draft of a policymaking document that will be released to the public in its final form. *See id.* at 2.

You seek to withhold the information you indicated under the deliberative process privilege encompassed by section 552.111 of the Government Code. You state the information at issue consists of advice, opinions, and recommendations of employees and officials of the comptroller's office regarding policymaking matters. You further state that portions of the information at issue consist of draft documents that were intended to be released in their final forms. Upon review, we find the comptroller's office may withhold the information you indicated under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

Section 552.111 also encompasses the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d

351, 360 (Tex. 2000); Open Records Decision No. 677 at 4-8 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines work product as

(1) material prepared or mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for a party or a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees, or agents; or

(2) a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial between a party and the party's representatives or among a party's representatives, including the party's attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees or agents.

TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5. A governmental body seeking to withhold information under this exception bears the burden of demonstrating that the information was created or developed for trial or in anticipation of litigation by or for a party or a party's representative. *Id.*; ORD 677 at 6-8. In order for this office to conclude that the information was made or developed in anticipation of litigation, we must be satisfied that:

a) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue; and b) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and [created or obtained the information] for the purpose of preparing for such litigation.

*Nat'l Tank Co. v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204; ORD 677 at 7.

The work product doctrine under section 552.111 of the Government Code is applicable to litigation files in criminal and civil litigation. *Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. 1994); see *U.S. v. Nobles*, 422 U.S. 225, 236 (1975). You state the information at issue consists of activity notes and substantive comments by comptroller attorneys and staff as part of the administrative hearings file. We note the administrative hearings are governed by the Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 2001 of the Government Code. Based on your representations and our review, we find the comptroller may withhold the information you indicated under section 552.111 of the Government Code as attorney work product.

In summary, the comptroller's office must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 111.006 of the Tax Code. The comptroller's office may withhold the information it indicated under section 552.107 of the Government Code. The comptroller's office may withhold the

information it indicated under section 552.111 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Patrick P. Mehaffy  
Attorney  
Open Records Division

PPM/eb

Ref: ID# 671314

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)