



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 20, 2017

Mr. David T. Ritter  
Counsel for the City of McKinney  
Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P.  
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800  
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2017-16293

Dear Mr. Ritter:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 666851 (City ID# G3881).

The City of McKinney (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for presentation materials provided to the city by a named entity. You state you will release some information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.131 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.131 of the Government Code relates to economic development information and provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

(1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or

(2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

(b) Unless and until an agreement is made with the business prospect, information about a financial or other incentive being offered to the business prospect by the governmental body or by another person is excepted from [required public disclosure].

Gov't Code § 552.131(a)-(b). Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only "trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect" and "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." *Id.* § 552.131(a). We note section 552.131(a) does not protect the interests of a governmental body regarding the release of information pertaining to economic development negotiations. Thus, we do not address your argument under section 552.131(a) for the information at issue. Further, we have not received arguments from any third party explaining how the responsive information contains the third party's trade secrets or its commercial or financial information. *See id.* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). Because no third party has demonstrated the information at issue qualifies as a trade secret or release of the information at issue would result in substantial competitive harm, we conclude none of the information at issue may be withheld pursuant to section 552.131(a).

Section 552.131(b) of the Government Code protects information about a financial or other incentive that is being offered to a business prospect by a governmental body or another person. *See id.* § 552.131(b). Section 552.131(b) protects the interests of governmental bodies, not third parties. You state the information at issue contains "specific economic development negotiations, including the requested or preferred incentive offered by the Economic Development Corporation or sought by the target companies." However, upon review, we find no portion of the submitted information reveals financial or other incentives that are being offered to a business prospect. Thus, we conclude the city may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.131(b) of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c).<sup>1</sup> *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the city must

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Matthew Taylor  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MHT/bw

Ref: ID# 666851

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)