



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 15, 2017

Mr. Brandon S. Davis  
Counsel to the City of Dayton  
Fielder, Gunter & Davis  
1517 Trinity Street  
Liberty, Texas 77575

OR2017-10433

Dear Mr. Davis:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 657661.

The City of Dayton (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for copies of the applications for candidates running for city council. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We note the submitted information is subject to section 1.012 of the Election Code, which provides as follows:

(a) Subject to Subsection (b), an election record that is public information shall be made available to the public during the regular business hours of the record's custodian.

...

(c) Except as otherwise provided by this code or [the Act], all election records are public information.

(d) In this code, "election record" includes:

(1) anything distributed or received by government under this code;

...

(3) a certificate, application, notice, report, or other document or paper issued or received by government under this code.


Elec. Code § 1.012(a), (c), (d)(1), (d)(3). An application for a place on an election ballot “is public information immediately on its filing.” *Id.* § 141.035. Therefore, under section 1.012(a), the submitted information must be made available to the public, except as provided by the Act. Accordingly, we will address the city’s arguments against disclosure.

The city asserts the dates of birth are excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Id.* at 682. The court of appeals has concluded public citizens’ dates of birth are protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). Thus, the city must withhold all public citizens’ dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



April Philley  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

AP/sb

Ref: ID# 657661

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)