



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 11, 2017

Mr. Mark Brewton
Corporate Counsel
San Antonio Water System
P.O. Box 2449
San Antonio, Texas 78298-2449

OR2017-07625

Dear Mr. Brewton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 652725 (SAWS File 5553).

The San Antonio Water System (the "system") received a request for information pertaining to a specified project. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Garney P3, LLC. ("Garney"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the system notified Garney of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Garney. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Garney argues its information is not responsive to the request for information. However, we note the Act requires a governmental body to make a good-faith effort to relate a request to information the governmental body holds or to which it has access. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 563 at 8 (1990), 561 at 8-9 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 534 at 2-3 (1989). Because the system has submitted the information at issue for our review, we find the system has made a good-faith effort to submit information that is responsive to the request, and we will address the arguments against disclosure of this information.

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). A

private third party may invoke this exception. *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015). The “test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder’s [or competitor’s information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage.” *Id.* at 841. Garney states it has competitors. In addition, Garney states release of the submitted information would give advantage to their competitors. After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find Garney has established the release of the information at issue would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. Thus, we conclude the system may withhold the submitted information under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



D. Michelle Case
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DMC/eb

Ref: ID# 652725

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party
(w/o enclosures)

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.