



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

March 22, 2017

Mr. James Kopp
Assistant City Attorney
City of San Antonio
P.O. BOX 839966
San Antonio, Texas 78283-3966

OR2017-05822

Dear Mr. Kopp:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 650264 (COSA File# W0150809).

The City of San Antonio (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to weapons trade-ins or sales made by the city's police department. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹ We have also received and considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit written comments regarding why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection,

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You generally assert release of the submitted information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. However, you do not provide any arguments explaining how release of the submitted information would interfere with any specific criminal investigations being conducted by the city. Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the internal records and notations of law enforcement agencies and prosecutors when their release would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Gov’t Code § 552.108(b)(1); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 531 at 2 (1989) (quoting *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(b)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.108(b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706. Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect “information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State.” *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). This office has concluded section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 252 (1980) (section 552.108 of the Government Code is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). Section 552.108(b)(1) is not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g.*, ORDs 531 at 2–3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You seek to withhold the submitted information pursuant to section 552.108(b)(1). You claim release of this information would interfere with law enforcement by divulging firearm serial numbers and descriptions that could be used on illegal firearms or be falsely used in a missing weapons report. However, upon review, we find the city has failed to demonstrate release of the submitted information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. The city may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family

member information of a peace officer, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with section 552.024 or 552.1175 of the Government Code.² Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). Section 552.117(a)(2) applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We note section 552.117(a)(2) protects a peace officer's personal cellular telephone number only if the officer pays for the cellular telephone service with his or her personal funds. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 670 at 6 (2001) (Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure peace officer's cellular telephone number if officer pays for service), 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use).

In this instance, we are unable to determine whether the individuals whose information is at issue are currently licensed peace officers as defined by article 2.12; therefore, we must rule conditionally. If the individuals at issue are currently licensed peace officers as defined by article 2.12 and the marked cell phone numbers are not paid for by a governmental body, then the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. Conversely, if the individuals at issue are not currently licensed peace officers as defined by article 2.12 or the marked cell phone numbers are paid for by a governmental body, the city may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.

If the individuals at issue are not currently licensed peace officers, then their personal information may be subject to section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). Section 552.117(a)(1) also applies to the personal cellular telephone number of a current or former official or employee of a governmental body, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988). We note the protections of section 552.117 only apply to information that the governmental body holds in its capacity as an employer. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1) (providing that employees of governmental entities may protect certain personal information in the hands of their employer); ORD 455 (statutory predecessor to section 552.117 does not except information pertaining to applicants who are not employees). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

body's receipt of the request for the information or not later than the 14th day after the date on which the employee began employment with the city. *See* Gov't Code § 552.024(b). Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Upon review, we conclude that, if the individuals at issue are not currently licensed peace officers, then, to the extent the individuals at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code and the marked cell phone numbers are not paid for by a governmental body, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Conversely, to the extent the individuals at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024 or the marked cell phone numbers are paid for by a governmental body, the city may not withhold this information under section 552.117(a)(1).

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *Id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c) of the Government Code. Accordingly, the city must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the e-mail address affirmatively consents to its disclosure.


In summary, if the individuals at issue are currently licensed peace officers and the marked cell phone numbers are not paid for by a governmental body, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. If the individuals at issue are not currently licensed peace officers, then, to the extent the individuals at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code and the marked cell phone numbers are not paid for by a governmental body, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the e-mail addresses we marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the e-mail address affirmatively consents to its disclosure. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



April Philley
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AP/sb

Ref: ID# 650264

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)