



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 23, 2017

Ms. Haley Turner
Counsel for the Taylor Independent School District
Walsh, Gallegos, Treviño, Russo & Kyle, P.C.
P.O. Box 2156
Austin, Texas 78768

OR2017-04000

Dear Ms. Turner:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 646840.

The Taylor Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a specified food service management company agreement. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Sodexo, Inc. ("Sodexo"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Sodexo of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Sodexo. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Sodexo asserts a portion of the submitted information is protected under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure

“information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104(a). In considering whether a private third party may assert this exception, the supreme court reasoned because section 552.305(a) of the Government Code includes section 552.104 as an example of an exception that involves a third party’s property interest, a private third party may invoke this exception. *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015). The “test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder’s [or competitor’s information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage.” *Id.* at 841. Sodexo states it has competitors. In addition, Sodexo states the release of the information at issue would have an adverse impact on Sodexo’s negotiating position in other business opportunities. Sodexo also states release of the information at issue would allow competitors to underbid Sodexo in future bidding situations. Sodexo further seeks to withhold the terms of the contract. For many years, this office concluded the terms of a contract and especially the pricing of a winning bidder are public and generally not excepted from disclosure. Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(3) (contract involving receipt or expenditure of public funds expressly made public); Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 8 (1990) (public has interest in knowing terms of contract with state agency), 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors), 494 (1988) (requiring balancing of public interest in disclosure with competitive injury to company). *See generally* Freedom of Information Act Guide & Privacy Act Overview, 219 (2000) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). However, now, pursuant to *Boeing*, section 552.104 is not limited to only ongoing competitive situations, and a third party need only show release of its competitively sensitive information would give an advantage to a competitor even after a contract is executed. *Boeing*, 466 S.W.3d at 831, 839. After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find Sodexo has established the release of the information at issue, which we have marked, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. Thus, we conclude the district may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.104(a) of the Government Code.¹ The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address Sodexo’s remaining argument against disclosure of the information at issue.

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'KH', with a horizontal line above the letters.

Kieran Hillis
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KH/sb

Ref: ID# 646840

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

1 Third Party
(w/o enclosures)