



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 30, 2017

Mr. Douglas A. Ritcheson
Counsel for City of East Mountain
Ritcheson, Lauffer & Vincent P.C.
821 ESE Loop 343, Suite 530
Tyler, Texas 75701

OR2017-02009

Dear Mr. Ritcheson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 646865.

The City of East Mountain (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for specified information pertaining to the issuance of tickets, citations, and other types of law-enforcement activities by the city's police department. The city claims the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the claimed exception and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the city did not submit information pertaining to investigations or prosecutions that concluded in conviction or deferred adjudication. Thus, we find the submitted information is not representative of the other types of information to which the requestor seeks access. Please be advised, this open records letter ruling applies only to the type of information the city has submitted for our review. This ruling does not authorize the city to withhold any information that is substantially different from the type of information the city submitted to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302. Accordingly, to the extent any information responsive to the remainder of the request for information existed and in the

¹Although the city also raises section 552.101 of the Government Code, it has not submitted arguments explaining how this exception applies to the submitted information. Therefore, we presume the city no longer asserts this exception. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

possession of the city when it received the request, we assume the city has released that information to the requestor. *See* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible). If the city has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

The city asserts the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the information at issue would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706, 710 (Tex. 1977). The city states Exhibit D relates to a pending criminal investigation. Based on this representation, we conclude the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (delineating law enforcement interests present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Therefore, we agree section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to this information.


Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate the information at issue relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(2), .301(e)(1)(A). The city asserts the remaining information pertains to cases that concluded in results other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Therefore, we agree section 552.108(a)(2) is applicable to this information.

Section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. *Id.* § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 at 3-4 (1976) (summarizing types of information deemed public by *Houston Chronicle*). Thus, with the exception of basic information, which the city must release, the city may withhold Exhibit D under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code and the remaining information under section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/bw

Ref: ID# 646865

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)