



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 27, 2017

Ms. Julie P. Doshier  
Counsel for the City of Farmers Branch  
Nichols, Jackson, Dillard, Hager & Smith, L.L.P.  
1800 Ross Tower  
500 North Akard  
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2017-01865

Dear Ms. Doshier:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 643627 (File Ref# 81437; PIR# 1611-08).

The City of Farmers Branch (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for fire protection system plans for a specified hotel. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of a third party. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Bruneman Alarm Systems, Inc. ("Bruneman") of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B)*. As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Bruneman explaining why the submitted information should not

be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Bruneman has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information related to Bruneman on the basis of any proprietary interest it may have in the information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. You claim section 552.101 in conjunction with sections 418.181 and 418.182 of the Government Code, which were added to chapter 418 of the Government Code as part of the Texas Homeland Security Act. Section 418.181 provides,

Those documents or portions of documents in the possession of a governmental entity are confidential if they identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism.

*Id.* § 418.181. Section 418.182 provides in part:

(a) Except as provided by Subsections (b) and (c), information, including access codes and passwords, in the possession of a governmental entity that relates to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity is confidential.

*Id.* § 418.182(a). The fact information may generally be related to a governmental body’s security concerns or to a security system does not make the information per se confidential under sections 418.181 and 418.182. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation by a governmental body of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of a claimed provision. As with any confidentiality provision, a governmental body asserting sections 418.181 and 418.182 must adequately explain how the responsive information falls within the scope of the statute. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

You seek to withhold the submitted information under sections 418.181 and 418.182. You assert the specified hotel where the specified fire protection system is located is critical infrastructure and release of the submitted information would reveal the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism. However, you have

not demonstrated the specified hotel is critical infrastructure for the purposes of section 418.181 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 421.001 (defining “critical infrastructure” to include all public or private assets, systems, and functions vital to security, governance, public health and safety, economy, or morale of state or nation). Consequently, we find the city may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code. Additionally, we find you have not demonstrated the information at issue relates to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public or private property from terrorism or related criminal activity. Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.182 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 1702.284(a) of the Occupations Code, which provides:

Information contained in alarm systems records maintained by a governmental body that concerns the location of an alarm system, the name of the occupant of an alarm system location, or the type of alarm system used is confidential and may be disclosed only to the [Texas Private Security Board], to the alarm company to which the confidential records relate, or as otherwise required by state law or court order.


Occ. Code § 1702.284(a); *see also id.* § 1702.002(1)(A)(ii) (defining “alarm system” as electronic equipment and devices designed to detect or signal the occurrence of a robbery or other emergency). Upon review, we find the you have failed to demonstrate any portion of the submitted information consists of the location of an alarm system, the name of the occupant of an alarm system location, or the type of alarm system used. Accordingly, no portion of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1702.284 of the Occupations Code. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998) (statutory confidentiality provision must be express, and confidentiality requirement will not be implied from statutory structure), 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ashley Crutchfield". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'A' and 'C'.

Ashley Crutchfield  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

AC/bw

Ref: ID# 643627

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party  
(w/o enclosures)