



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 30, 2016

Mr. Bradley B. Young
Legal Counsel for the City of Shiner
Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP
Building One, Suite 300
3711 South MoPac Expressway
Austin, Texas 78746

OR2016-28740

Dear Mr. Young:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 640074.

The City of Shiner (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for an agreement between the city and a specified company. You state the city has released some information. Although you take no position as to whether the requested information is excepted under the Act, you state release of some of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Tyler Technologies ("Tyler"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation demonstrating, you notified Tyler of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Tyler explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis

to conclude Tyler has a protected proprietary interest in any of the requested information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Tyler may have in the information. The city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ian Lancaster
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

IML/akg

Ref: ID# 640074

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party
(w/o enclosures)