



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 27, 2016

Ms. Leticia D. McGowan
Assistant General Counsel
Dallas Independent School District
3700 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204

OR2016-28400

Dear Ms. McGowan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 639168 (ORR# 15651).

The Dallas Independent School District (the "district") received a request for all documents related to a specified investigation and personnel records related to a named individual. You state the district will release some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office has informed this office the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.¹ Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in

¹A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website at: <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/files/og/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

unredacted form, that is, in a form in which “personally identifiable information” is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining “personally identifiable information”). You have submitted unredacted education records for our review. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing these education records to determine whether appropriate redactions under FERPA have been made, we will not address the applicability of FERPA to any of the submitted records. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). Such determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. However, we will consider your arguments against disclosure of the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 261.201 of the Family Code provides, in part:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a); *see also id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1) (defining “abuse” for purposes of Family Code ch. 261). You contend the submitted information is confidential under section 261.201. We note the district is not an agency authorized to conduct an investigation under chapter 261 of the Family Code. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). You state the information was obtained from the Dallas Police Department (“DPD”), the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (“DFPS”), or the district’s police department (the “department”). You also state the district has on staff an employee who is shared with DFPS to receive and investigate child abuse claims.

Upon review, we find the submitted information was not obtained from DPD, DFPS, or the department, but instead relates to an administrative investigation by the district. However, we are unable to determine whether the submitted Suspected Child Abuse Reporting Form

(the “reporting form”) was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department. Accordingly, we must rule conditionally. If the reporting form was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, then we find this information consists of information used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse under chapter 261. In that instance, the district must withhold the reporting form in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a)(2). However, if the reporting form was not produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, then this information does not consist of information used or developed in investigations of alleged or suspected child abuse under chapter 261 of the Family Code and it may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a)(2). In this instance, however, we find a portion of the reporting form, as well as portions of the remaining information, which we have marked, identify a person who reported alleged or suspected abuse or neglect to DFPS and are within the scope of section 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code. Therefore, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code.² However, we find none of the remaining information, which relates to an administrative investigation by the district, is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code and none of it may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 261.101 of the Family Code, which provides the identity of an individual making a report under chapter 261 is confidential. *See id.* § 261.101(d). As noted above, the district is not an agency authorized to conduct a chapter 261 investigation. *See id.* § 261.103. Upon review, we find none of the remaining information at issue consists of the identifying information of an individual who made a report under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.101 of the Family Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that common-law privacy generally protects the identifying information of juvenile victims of abuse or neglect. *See* Open Records Decision No. 394 (1983); *cf.* Fam. Code § 261.201. Upon review, we find some of the remaining information at issue identifies juvenile victims of abuse. Accordingly, the district must withhold the identifying information of the juvenile victims of abuse, such as the child

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

victims' names, identification numbers, home addresses, home telephone numbers, and the names of the child victims' parents under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.³

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides the following:

(a) "Informer" means a student or former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person's possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.

(b) An informer's name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].

Gov't Code § 552.135. Because the legislature limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of "law," a school district that seeks to withhold information under that exception must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). We note section 552.135 protects an informer's identity, but it does not generally encompass protection for witnesses or witness statements. You state some of the remaining information identifies students and employees who reported alleged violations of criminal and civil laws. Upon review, we find no portion of the remaining information contains the identity of an informer for section 552.135 purposes. Therefore, we conclude the district may not withhold any of the remaining information on the basis of section 552.135 of the Government Code.

In summary, if the reporting form was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, then the district must withhold the reporting form we have marked in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a)(2) of the Family Code. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code. The district must withhold the identifying information of the juvenile victims of abuse, such as the child victims' names, identification numbers, home addresses, home telephone numbers, and the names of the child victims' parents under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

³As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tim Neal". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "T" and "N".

Tim Neal
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TN/som

Ref: ID# 639168

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)