



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

December 21, 2016

Ms. Barbara L. Klein
Deputy General Counsel
Texas Department of State Health Services
P.O. Box 149347
Austin, Texas 78714-9347

OR2016-28262

Dear Ms. Klein:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 638780 (DSHS File No. 26531).

The Texas Department of State Health Services (the "department") received a request for certain information pertaining to a named company. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.107 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information includes a completed report subject to section 552.022(a)(1). The information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) must be released

unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or expressly made confidential under the Act of other law. *See id.* You seek to withhold the information at issue under sections 552.103 and 552.107 of the Government Code. However, sections 552.103 and 552.107 are discretionary in nature and do not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 10-11 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under Gov't Code § 552.107(1) may be waived), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may not be withheld under section 552.103 or section 552.107 of the Government Code. However, the Texas Supreme Court has held the Texas Rules of Evidence are “other law” within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Accordingly, we will consider your assertion of the attorney-client privilege under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence for the information at issue. We will also consider your arguments under sections 552.103 and 552.107 of the Government Code for the information not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503(b)(1) provides:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or the client's representative and the client's lawyer or the lawyer's representative;
- (B) between the client's lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client, the client's representative, the client's lawyer, or the lawyer's representative to a lawyer representing another party in a pending action or that lawyer's representative, if the communications concern a matter of common interest in the pending action;
- (D) between the client's representatives or between the client and the client's representative; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client or reasonably necessary to transmit the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must 1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; 2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and 3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. *See* ORD 676. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the entire communication is confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the communication does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein); *In re Valero Energy Corp.*, 973 S.W.2d 453, 457 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1998, orig. proceeding) (privilege attaches to complete communication, including factual information).

You assert the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) consists of a privileged attorney-client communication. You explain, and the submitted information reflects, the information at issue was communicated between a department employee and a department attorney for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the department. You state the information at issue was intended to be confidential and has not been disclosed to non-privileged parties. Based on your representations and our review, we find the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) consists of a communication protected by the attorney-client privilege. Accordingly, the department may withhold the information we have marked under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request, and (2) the

information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). Both elements of the test must be met in order for information to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.103. We note contested cases conducted under the Administrative Procedure Act (the “APA”), chapter 2001 of the Government Code, are considered litigation for purposes of section 552.103. *See* Open Records Decision No. 588 at 7 (1991). We further note a contested case before the State Office of Administrative Hearings (the “SOAH”) is considered litigation for the purposes of the APA. *See id.*

To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated for purposes of section 552.103, a governmental body must provide this office with “concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture.” *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). In the context of anticipated litigation in which the governmental body is the prospective plaintiff, the concrete evidence must at least reflect that litigation is “realistically contemplated.” *See* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989); *see also* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982) (finding that investigatory file may be withheld if governmental body attorney determines that it should be withheld pursuant to section 552.103 and that litigation is “reasonably likely to result”). The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* ORD 452 at 4.

You inform us the department is authorized to assess an administrative penalty for a violation of the Texas Radiation Control Act, chapter 401 of the Health and Safety Code. *See* Health & Safety Code § 401.384. You state the department issued a notice of violation to the specified company prior to the department’s receipt of the present request for information. You explain that, in response to the notice of violation, an informal settlement conference was held, but no settlement was reached. You also inform us that a contested case before SOAH is currently being scheduled. *See id.* § 401.388. Accordingly, you assert the department reasonably anticipated litigation on the date it received the instant request. Based on your representations and our review, we determine the department reasonably anticipated litigation when it received the request for information. Furthermore, we agree the information at issue relates to the anticipated litigation.

However, once information has been obtained by all parties to the anticipated litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a). We note the opposing party to the anticipated litigation has seen or had access to some of the information at issue, which we have marked for release. Therefore, the department may not withhold this information under section 552.103(a). However, we agree the department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103(a) of the Government Code. We note the

applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 at 2 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

Next, we address your argument under section 552.107 for the remaining information not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. The elements of the privilege under section 552.107(1) are the same as those discussed above for rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. ORD 676 at 6-7. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie*, 922 S.W.2d at 923.

Upon review, we find the remaining information has been shared with individuals you have not demonstrated are privileged parties or does not consist of communications for purposes of section 552.107(1). Therefore, we conclude you have failed to establish the remaining information constitutes communications between or among department employees and attorneys for the purposes of section 552.107. Thus, the department may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.107 of the Government Code.

We note the remaining information includes an e-mail address of a member of the public that is subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body,” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). Gov’t Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address we have marked is not one of the types specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). *See id.* § 552.137(c). Accordingly, within the information we have marked for release, the department must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release.

In summary, the department may withhold the information we have marked under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. Except for the information we have marked for release, the department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103(a) of the Government Code. Within the information we have marked for release, the department must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release. The department must release the remaining information.

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cole Hutchison
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CH/som

Ref: ID# 638780

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)