



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 21, 2016

Ms. Stephanie E. Maher
Counsel for Fort Bend Independent School District
Rogers Morris & Grover
5718 Westheimer Road, Suite 1200
Houston, Texas 77057

OR2016-25858

Dear Ms. Maher:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 634960 (FBISD Request No. 2016-17-108).

The Fort Bend Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for specified engagement letters and specified fee bills. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code and privileged under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence and rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.¹ We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.

Initially, we note you have not submitted information responsive to the portion of the request for the specified engagement letters. Although you state the district has submitted a representative sample of the requested information, we find the submitted information is not representative of all the types of information to which the requestor seeks access. Please be

¹Although you raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Texas Rule of Evidence 503 and Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5, this office has concluded section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2 (2002), 575 at 2 (1990). Further, although you raise section 552.022 of the Government Code, this section is not an exception to disclosure. Rather, section 552.022 enumerates categories of information that are not excepted from disclosure unless they are made confidential under the Act or other law. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022.

advised, this open records letter ruling applies only to the types of information you have submitted for our review. This ruling does not authorize the district to withhold any information that is substantially different from the types of information you submitted to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302 (where request for attorney general decision does not comply with requirements of Gov't Code § 552.301, information at issue is presumed to be public). Accordingly, to the extent any such information existed on the date the district received the request, we assume the district has released it. If the district has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Next, we note Exhibit D is not responsive to the instant request because it does not pertain to the requested engagement letters or fee bills. This ruling does not address the public availability of the non-responsive information, and the city need not release it in response to the request.

Next, the district acknowledges, and we agree, Exhibit E consists of attorney fee bills that are subject to section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(16) provides for required public disclosure of “information that is in a bill for attorney’s fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege” unless the information is expressly confidential under the Act or other law. Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16). You seek to withhold the information at issue under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is discretionary and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). As such, the district may not withhold any portion of the submitted fee bills under section 552.103. However, the Texas Supreme Court has held the Texas Rules of Evidence and Texas Rules of Civil Procedure are “other law” within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Accordingly, we will address your claim of the attorney-client privilege under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence and the attorney work product privilege under rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure for Exhibit E. Furthermore, as section 552.101 of the Government Code applies to confidential information, we will address your argument under section 552.101 for Exhibit E.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. Section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code provides:

- (a) Neither the existence nor the amount of insurance held by a governmental unit is admissible in the trial of a suit under [the Texas Tort Claims Act].

(b) Neither the existence nor the amount of the insurance is subject to discovery.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 101.104. The district claims Exhibit E is confidential under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. Section 101.104 prohibits the discovery and admission of insurance information during a trial under the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. *See City of Bedford v. Schattman*, 776 S.W.2d 812, 813-14 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1989, orig. proceeding) (protection from producing evidence of insurance coverage under section 101.104 is limited to actions brought under Texas Tort Claims Act). However, section 101.104 does not make insurance information confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See Open Records Decision No. 551* at 3 (1990) (provisions of section 101.104 “are not relevant to the availability of the information to the public”). The Act differs in purpose from statutes and procedural rules providing for discovery in judicial proceedings. *See Gov’t Code* §§ 552.005 (Act does not affect scope of civil discovery), .006 (Act does not authorize withholding public information or limit availability of public information to public except as expressly provided by Act); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JM-1048 (1989); *Open Records Decision No. 575* (1990) (*overruled in part by Open Records Decision No. 647* (1996)) (section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges). Thus, we find section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code does not make the information at issue confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. Therefore, the district may not withhold Exhibit E under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

(A) between the client or the client’s representative and the client’s lawyer or the lawyer’s representative;

(B) between the client’s lawyer and the lawyer’s representative;

(C) by the client, the client’s representative, the client’s lawyer, or the lawyer’s representative to a lawyer representing another party in a pending action or that lawyer’s representative, if the communications concern a matter of common interest in the pending action;

(D) between the client’s representatives or between the client and the client’s representative; or

(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

Tex. R. Evid. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if it is not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must (1) show the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show the communication is confidential by explaining it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein); *In re Valero Energy Corp.*, 973 S.W.2d 453, 457 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1998, orig. proceeding) (privilege attaches to complete communication, including factual information).

You assert the portions of the submitted fee bills in Exhibit E you have marked should be withheld under rule 503. You assert the submitted fee bills include privileged attorney-client communications between the district’s attorneys and district officials and staff in their capacities as clients. You state the communications at issue were made for the purpose of the rendition of legal services to the district. You indicate the communications at issue have not been, and were not intended to be, disclosed to third parties. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have failed to demonstrate some of the information at issue, which we have marked for release, consists of privileged attorney client communications. Nevertheless, we find the district has established the remaining information you have marked in Exhibit E constitutes attorney-client communications under rule 503. Consequently, except for the information we have marked for release, the district may withhold the remaining information you have marked in Exhibit E pursuant to rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence.²

We next address Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5 for the remaining information you have marked in Exhibit E. Rule 192.5 encompasses the attorney work product privilege. For purposes of section 552.022 of the Government Code, information is confidential under rule 192.5 only to the extent the information implicates the core work product aspect of the

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

work product privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 677 at 9-10 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines core work product as the work product of an attorney or an attorney's representative, developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial, that contains the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of the attorney or the attorney's representative. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(a), (b)(1). Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney core work product from disclosure under rule 192.5, a governmental body must demonstrate the material was (1) created for trial or in anticipation of litigation and (2) consists of the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or an attorney's representative. *Id.*

The first prong of the work product test, which requires a governmental body to show the information at issue was created in anticipation of litigation, has two parts. A governmental body must demonstrate (1) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation there was a substantial chance litigation would ensue, and (2) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith there was a substantial chance litigation would ensue and conducted the investigation for the purpose of preparing for such litigation. *See Nat'l Tank v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204. The second part of the work product test requires the governmental body to show the materials at issue contain the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or an attorney's representative. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(b)(1). A document containing core work product information that meets both parts of the work product test is confidential under rule 192.5, provided the information does not fall within the scope of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 192.5(c). *See Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, orig. proceeding).

You claim the remaining information at issue consists of attorney core work product. Upon review, however, we find you have not demonstrated the information at issue contains the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or the attorney's representative that were developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial. We therefore conclude the district may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5.

In summary, except for the information we have marked for release, the district may withhold the information you have marked pursuant to rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gerald A. Arismendez". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Gerald A. Arismendez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

GAA/som

Ref: ID# 634960

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)