



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 17, 2016

Ms. Julie Allen
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission
P.O. Box 13127
Austin, Texas 78711-3127

OR2016-25696

Dear Ms. Allen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 632788 (Ref. No. 1660471819).

The Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission (the "TABC") received two requests from the same requestor for information pertaining to a specified incident and the personnel file of a named TABC employee. You state you will release some information. You state you will redact information pursuant to section 552.1175(f) of the Government Code, motor vehicle record information under section 552.130(c) of the Government Code, e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code pursuant to Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), and social security numbers under section 552.147(b) of the Government Code.¹ You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections

¹Section 552.1175(f) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact under section 552.1175(b), without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office, the home addresses and telephone numbers, emergency contact information, dates of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals who properly elect to keep this information confidential. *See Gov't Code* § 552.1175(b), (f). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.1175(h). *See id.* § 552.1175(g), (h). Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in section 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. *See id.* § 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See id.* § 552.130(d), (e). Open Records Decision No. 684 serves as a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including personal e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. *See* ORD 684. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See Gov't Code* § 552.147(b).

552.101, 552.108, 552.1085, 552.119, and 552.152 of the Government Code.² We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.³

Initially, we note the submitted information includes a police officer's body worn camera recording. Body worn cameras are subject to chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Chapter 1701 provides the procedures a requestor must follow when seeking a body worn camera recording. Section 1701.661 provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) A member of the public is required to provide the following information when submitting a written request to a law enforcement agency for information recorded by a body worn camera:

- (1) the date and approximate time of the recording;
- (2) the specific location where the recording occurred; and
- (3) the name of one or more persons known to be a subject of the recording.

Occ. Code § 1701.661(a). In this instance, the requestor does not provide the requisite information under section 1701.661(a). As the body worn camera recording at issue was not properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. However, pursuant to section 1701.661(b), a "failure to provide all the information required by [s]ubsection (a) to be part of a request for recorded information does not preclude the requestor from making a future request for the same recorded information." *Id.* § 1701.661(b).⁴

Next, we address your argument under section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code as it is potentially the most encompassing exception you raise. Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that did not result in conviction or

²Although you also raise section 552.107 of the Government Code, you have not provided any arguments to support this exception. Therefore, we assume you have withdrawn your claim this section applies to the submitted information. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302. Further, although you also raise section 552.024 of the Government Code, we note this section is not an exception to disclosure. Rather, this section permits a current or former official or employee of a governmental body to choose whether to allow public access to certain information relating to the current or former official or employee that is held by the employing governmental body. *See id.* § 552.024.

³We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

⁴As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

deferred adjudication. *See* Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested). We note section 552.108 is generally not applicable to purely administrative records that do not involve the investigation or prosecution of crime. *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519, 525-26 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 not applicable to internal investigation that did not result in criminal investigation or prosecution); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 at 3-4 (1982). By its terms, section 552.108 applies only to a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor. The TABC's Enforcement Division is a law enforcement agency. *See* Alco. Bev. Code §§ 5.14 (commission may commission inspectors with police powers to enforce Alcoholic Beverage Code), .36 (commission shall investigate violations of Alcoholic Beverage Code and other laws relating to alcoholic beverages), .361 (commission shall develop risk-based approach to enforcement). You state the information you have marked and indicated relates to an investigation by the TABC's Office of Professional Responsibility ("OPR") that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. Upon review, we conclude you have not demonstrated the investigation by the OPR is a closed investigation involving the investigation of a crime, rather than an internal, personnel and administrative investigation only.

You also state the information you marked and indicated relates to a closed criminal investigation of the Duncanville Police Department (the "department") that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. This office has concluded section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper custodian of information that relates to the incident. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 372 (1983). Where a non-law enforcement agency is in the custody of information relating to a concluded criminal case of a law enforcement agency, the custodian of the records may withhold the information if it provides this office with a demonstration that the information relates to the criminal case that has reached a conclusion other than a conviction or deferred adjudication and a representation from the law enforcement entity that it wishes to withhold the information. However, you have not provided this office with a representation from the department stating the department objects to disclosure of the information at issue. Accordingly, we find you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.108(a)(2) on the basis of OPR's investigation and the department's investigation, and the TABC may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.108(a)(2).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. The remaining information contains L-2 Declaration of Medical Condition and L-3 Declaration of Psychological and Emotional Health forms required by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement ("TCOLE"). These forms are confidential under section 1701.306 of

the Occupations Code, which is encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 1701.306 provides the following:

(a) [TCOLE] may not issue a license to a person unless the person is examined by:

(1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and

(2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a blood test or other medical test.

(b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report on file in a format readily accessible to [TCOLE]. A declaration is not public information.

Occ. Code § 1701.306(a)-(b). Therefore, the TABC must withhold the submitted L-2 and L-3 declaration forms, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code.⁵

Section 552.101 of the Government Code encompasses the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”). *See* 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.* Title I of the ADA requires information about the medical conditions and medical histories of applicants or employees be (1) collected and maintained on separate forms, (2) kept in separate medical files, and (3) treated as a confidential medical record. 29 C.F.R. § 1630.14(c). An employer’s medical examination or inquiry into the ability of an employee to perform job-related functions is to be treated as a confidential medical record. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 641 (1996). The federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the “EEOC”) has determined medical information for purposes of the ADA includes “specific information about an individual’s disability and related functional limitations, as well as general statements that an individual has a disability or that an ADA reasonable accommodation has been provided for a particular individual.” *See* Letter from Ellen J. Vargyas, Legal Counsel, EEOC, to Barry Kearney, Associate General Counsel, National Labor Relations Board, 3 (Oct. 1, 1997). Federal regulations define “disability” for the purposes of the ADA as (1) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of the individual; (2) a record of such an impairment; or (3) being regarded as having such an impairment. 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(g). The regulations further provide that physical or mental impairment means: (1) any physiological disorder, or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or

⁵As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

anatomical loss affecting one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, respiratory (including speech organs), cardiovascular, reproductive, digestive, genito-urinary, hemic and lymphatic, skin, and endocrine; or (2) any mental or psychological disorder, such as mental retardation, organic brain syndrome, emotional or mental illness, and specific learning disabilities. *See id.* § 1630.2(h). Upon review, we find the ADA is not applicable to any of the remaining information. Thus, the TABC may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the ADA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code, which makes certain mental health record information confidential. Section 611.002 provides in pertinent part the following:

(a) Communications between a patient and a professional, and records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional, are confidential.

(b) Confidential communications or records may not be disclosed except as provided by section 611.004 or 611.0045.

Health & Safety Code § 611.002(a)-(b). Section 611.001 defines a “professional” as (1) a person authorized to practice medicine, (2) a person licensed or certified by the state to diagnose, evaluate or treat mental or emotional conditions or disorders, or (3) a person the patient reasonably believes is authorized, licensed, or certified. *See id.* § 611.001(2). Upon review, we find none of the remaining information consists of communications between a patient and a professional or records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional. Accordingly, the TABC may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.”⁶ Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). Upon review, we find the TABC must withhold the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the

⁶The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. See Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 682. In considering whether a public citizen's date of birth is private, the Third Court of Appeals looked to the supreme court's rationale in *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). The supreme court concluded public employees' dates of birth are private under section 552.102 of the Government Code because the employees' privacy interest substantially outweighed the negligible public interest in disclosure.⁷ *Texas Comptroller*, 354 S.W.3d at 347-48. Based on *Texas Comptroller*, the court of appeals concluded the privacy rights of public employees apply equally to public citizens, and thus, public citizens' dates of birth are also protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *City of Dallas*, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3.

The common-law right to privacy, however, is a personal right that “terminates upon the death of the person whose privacy is invaded[.]” *Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); see also *Justice v. Belo Broadcasting Corp.*, 472 F. Supp. 145, 147 (N.D. Tex. 1979) (“action for invasion of privacy can be maintained only by a living individual whose privacy is invaded” (quoting RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 652I (1977))); Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984) (“the right of privacy lapses upon death”), H-917 (1976) (“We are . . . of the opinion that the Texas courts would follow the almost uniform rule of other jurisdictions that the right of privacy lapses upon death.”); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981) (privacy rights lapse upon death).

Upon review, we find the TABC must withhold the dates of birth of all living public citizens under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find the remaining information is not information pertaining to a living individual that is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Thus, the TABC may not withhold the remaining information you have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of constitutional privacy. Constitutional privacy consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding

⁷Section 552.102(a) exempts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov't Code § 552.102(a).

disclosure of personal matters. ORD 455 at 4. The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy" which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common law doctrine of privacy; the information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (quoting *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)). After review of the remaining information at issue, we find you have failed to demonstrate any portion of the remaining information falls within the zones of privacy or implicates an individual's privacy interests for purposes of constitutional privacy. Therefore, the TABC may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.101 on the basis of constitutional privacy.

Section 552.1085 of the Government Code, provides, in pertinent part:

(c) A sensitive crime scene image in the custody of a governmental body is confidential and excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 and a governmental body may not permit a person to view or copy the image except as provided by this section. This section applies to any sensitive crime scene image regardless of the date that the image was taken or recorded.

Gov't Code § 552.1085(c). For purposes of section 552.1085, "sensitive crime scene image" means "a photograph or video recording taken at a crime scene, contained in or part of a closed criminal case, that depicts a deceased person in a state of dismemberment, decapitation, or similar mutilation or that depicts the deceased person's genitalia." *See id.* § 552.1085(a)(6). Upon review, we find the photographs you have marked do not consist of sensitive crime scene images for the purposes of section 552.1085. Thus, the TABC may not withhold the information you marked under section 552.1085 of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, and social security number of a peace officer, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with sections 552.024 and 552.1175 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.117(a)(2). Section 552.117(a)(2) applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We note section 552.117 also encompasses a personal cellular telephone number, unless the cellular service is paid for by a governmental body. *See Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-7 (1988)* (statutory predecessor to section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers provided and paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). Upon review, we find the TABC must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code; however, the TABC may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number if the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body.

You raise section 552.119 of the Government Code for some of the remaining information. Section 552.119 provides the following:

(a) A photograph that depicts a peace officer as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, the release of which would endanger the life or physical safety of the officer, is excepted from [required public disclosure] unless:

(1) the officer is under indictment or charged with an offense by information;

(2) the officer is a party in a civil service hearing or a case in arbitration; or

(3) the photograph is introduced as evidence in a judicial proceeding.

(b) A photograph excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) may be made public only if the peace officer gives written consent to the disclosure.

Gov't Code § 552.119. Under section 552.119, a governmental body must demonstrate, if the documents do not demonstrate on their face, that release of the photograph would endanger the life or physical safety of a peace officer. Upon review, we find you have not demonstrated, and it is not apparent from our review of the submitted information, the release of the remaining information at issue would endanger the life or physical safety of a peace officer. Therefore the TABC may not withhold the remaining information at issue pursuant to section 552.119.

Section 552.152 of the Government Code provides:

Information in the custody of a governmental body that relates to an employee or officer of the governmental body is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if, under the specific circumstances pertaining to the employee or officer, disclosure of the information would subject the employee or officer to a substantial threat of physical harm.

Id. § 552.152. You state release of some of the remaining information would jeopardize the safety of a TABC officer and subject him to a substantial threat of physical harm. However, upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate release of any of the remaining information at issue would subject a TABC officer to a substantial threat of physical harm. Thus, the TABC may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.152.


In summary, pursuant to section 1701 of the Occupations Code, as the submitted body worn camera recording was not properly requested pursuant to chapter 1701, our ruling does not reach this information and it need not be released. The TABC must withhold the submitted L-2 and L-3 declaration forms, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the

Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code. The TABC must withhold the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. The TABC must withhold the dates of birth of all living public citizens under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The TABC must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code; however, the TABC may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number if the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. The TABC must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Matthew Taylor
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MHT/bhf

Ref: ID# 632788

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)