



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 19, 2016

Mr. J. Eric Magee  
Counsel for the County of Goliad  
Allison, Bass & Magee, L.L.P.  
402 West 12th Street  
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2016-11480

Dear Mr. Magee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 611267.

The Goliad County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office"), which you represent, received a request for the time sheets of all sheriff's office employees during a specified period of time. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.117, and 552.1175 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information made confidential by statute. Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information other statutes make confidential. The sheriff's office claims the submitted information is protected by the Privacy Act of 1974, section 552a of title 5 of the United States Code ("Federal Privacy Act"). Section 552a(b) of the Federal Privacy Act provides, "[n]o agency shall disclose any record which is contained in a system of records by any means of communication to any person, or to another agency, except pursuant to a written request by, or with the prior written consent of, the individual to whom the record

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

pertains[.]” 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b). However, our office and the courts have stated the Federal Privacy Act applies only to federal agencies, and not to state or local agencies. *See St. Michael’s Convalescent Hosp. v. State of California*, 643 F.2d 1369, 1373 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981) (definition of agency under Privacy Act does not encompass state agencies or bodies); *Shields v. Shetler*, 682 F. Supp. 1172, 1176 (D. Colo. 1988) (Privacy Act does not apply to state agencies or bodies); Attorney General Opinion MW-95 at 2 (1979) (neither FOIA nor federal Privacy Act applies to records held by state or local governmental bodies in Texas). The courts have also opined that neither the receipt of federal funds nor limited oversight by a federal entity convert state or local governmental bodies into agencies covered by the Privacy Act. *See St. Michael’s Convalescent Hosp.*, 643 F.2d at 1373-74; *see also United States v. Orleans*, 425 U.S. 807, 816 (1976) (federal regulations and contract provisions do not convert acts of local and state governmental bodies into federal governmental acts). Upon review of the submitted arguments, we find the sheriff’s office has failed to demonstrate the Federal Privacy Act applies to the submitted information. Accordingly, the sheriff’s office may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), which make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See Open Records Decision No. 622* (1994). We note, however, the submitted information does not contain any social security numbers. Consequently, the sheriff’s office has failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 405 of title 42 of the United States Code to the submitted information. Accordingly, the sheriff’s office may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person’s office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). See ORD 551.

To establish litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office “concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture.” See Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). Concrete evidence to support a claim litigation is reasonably anticipated may include, for example, the governmental body’s receipt of a letter containing a specific threat to sue the governmental body from an attorney for a potential opposing party. See Open Records Decision No. 555 (1990); see also Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989) (litigation must be “realistically contemplated”). In addition, this office has concluded litigation was reasonably anticipated when the potential opposing party hired an attorney who made a demand for disputed payments and threatened to sue if the payments were not made promptly, or when an individual threatened to sue on several occasions and hired an attorney. See Open Records Decision Nos. 346 (1982), 288 (1981). On the other hand, this office has determined if an individual publicly threatens to bring suit against a governmental body, but does not actually take objective steps toward filing suit, litigation is not reasonably anticipated. See Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982). Further, the fact that a potential opposing party has hired an attorney who makes a request for information does not establish litigation is reasonably anticipated. See Open Records Decision No. 361 (1983).

The sheriff’s office asserts it reasonably anticipated litigation because the requestor was quoted in a news story stating he would file a lawsuit against Goliad County, the Goliad County Sheriff, and a Goliad County chief deputy. Upon review, we find the sheriff’s office has not established the requestor had taken any objective steps toward litigation before the day the sheriff’s office received the instant request. Thus, we find the sheriff’s office has failed to demonstrate it reasonably anticipated litigation on the date it received the request for information, and none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. See Gov’t Code § 552.117(a)(1). Upon review, we find the sheriff’s office has failed to

establish section 552.117 is applicable to any of the submitted information. Thus, the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.117.

Section 552.1175 of the Government Code protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals, when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the information confidential. *Id.* § 552.1175(b). Upon review, we find the sheriff's office has failed to establish section 552.1175 is applicable to any of the submitted information. Thus, the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.1175. As no other exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the sheriff's office must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ramsey A. Abarca  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RAA/dls

Ref: ID# 611267

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)