



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 4, 2016

Ms. Diana Spiller  
Research Specialist/Public Information Coordinator  
Texas Commission on Jail Standards  
P.O. Box 12985  
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2016-07544

Dear Ms. Spiller:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 604300.

The Texas Commission on Jail Standards (the "commission") received a request for all complaints, grievances, or similar communications pertaining to medical treatment regarding the Kerr County Jail (the "jail"), a named individual, or any other commanding officers from the jail; and related commission investigation files and responses over a specified time period. You state the commission will release some information to the requestor. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code, which governs the public availability of mental health records and provides:

- (a) Communications between a patient and a professional, and records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional, are confidential.

(b) Confidential communications or records may not be disclosed except as provided by Section 611.004 or 611.0045.

Health & Safety Code § 611.002(a)-(b); *see id.* § 611.001 (defining “patient” and “professional”). Upon review, we find the information we marked under section 611.002 consists of mental health records. Therefore, the commission must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 258.102 of the Occupations Code. Section 258.102 provides in pertinent part as follows:

(a) The following information is privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this subchapter:

- (1) a communication between a dentist and a patient that relates to a professional service provided by the dentist; and
- (2) a dental record.

Occ. Code § 258.102(a). A “dental record” means dental information about a patient that is created or maintained by a dentist and relates to the history or treatment of the patient. *See id.* § 258.101(1). Upon review, we find the information we have marked constitutes dental records the commission must withhold under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 258.102 of the Occupations Code.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (“MPA”), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

---

<sup>1</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not consider your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

*Id.* § 159.002(a)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004; Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). We have also found when a file is created as the result of a hospital stay, all the documents in the file relating to diagnosis and treatment constitute physician-patient communications or “[r]ecords of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician.” Open Records Decision No. 546 (1990). Upon review, we find some of the submitted information constitutes confidential medical records subject to the MPA. Therefore, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. Additionally, we find some of the submitted information was created by a nurse. The commission must withhold these documents, which we have marked, only if they were created under the supervision of a physician under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. If the documents created by a nurse were not created under the supervision of a physician, they are not subject to the MPA and the commission may not withhold them under section 552.101 on that basis. Further, the commission has failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information at issue consists of medical records subject to the MPA. Therefore, the commission may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 682. In considering whether a public citizen's date of birth is private, the Third Court of Appeals looked to the supreme court's rationale in *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). The supreme court concluded public employees'

dates of birth are private under section 552.102 of the Government Code because the employees' privacy interest substantially outweighed the negligible public interest in disclosure.<sup>3</sup> *Tex. Comptroller*, 354 S.W.3d at 347-48. Based on *Texas Comptroller*, the court of appeals concluded the privacy rights of public employees apply equally to public citizens, and thus, public citizens' dates of birth are also protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *City of Dallas*, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3. Upon review, we find some of the remaining information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the commission must withhold the information you have marked, the additional information we have marked, and the dates of birth of public citizens under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by this state or another state or country.<sup>4</sup> Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Upon review, the commission must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code, section 258.102 of the Occupations Code, and the MPA. The commission must withhold the information created by a nurse, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA only if the documents were created under the supervision of a physician. The commission must withhold the information you have marked, the additional information we have marked, and the dates of birth of public citizens under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The commission must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The commission must release the remaining information.<sup>5</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

---


<sup>3</sup>Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a).

<sup>4</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>5</sup>We note the remaining information contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Abigail T. Adams". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line at the end.

Abigail T. Adams  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ATA/akg

Ref: ID# 604300

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)