January 15, 2016

Ms. Jennifer Burnett
Attorney
Office of General Counsel
The University of Texas System
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2016-01245

Dear Ms. Burnett:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 594471 (OGC #165387).

The University of Texas of the Permian Basin (the "university") received a request for (1) all citations issued on campus during a specified time period, and (2) information pertaining to a specified incident. You state the university will redact motor vehicle record information under section 552.130(c) of the Government Code. You claim some of the submitted information is not public information under the Act. You claim the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code.

We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, regarding part one of the request, we note you have only submitted citations pertaining to the specified incident. To the extent any additional citations responsive to part one of the request exist, we assume the university has released any such information. If not,

\footnote{Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in subsection 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. \textit{See} id. \S 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). \textit{See} id. \S 552.130(d), (e).}
then the university must do so at this time. See Gov’t Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000).

Next, you assert the University of Texas Electronic Identification Numbers ("UTEIDs") contained in the submitted documents are not subject to the Act. The Act applies only to "public information." See Gov’t Code § 552.021. Section 552.002(a) defines "public information" as:

"[I]nformation that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

(1) by a governmental body;

(2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:

   (A) owns the information;

   (B) has a right of access to the information; or

   (C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or

(3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer’s or employee’s official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

Id. § 552.002(a). In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. You inform our office that when combined with an individual’s password, a UTEID serves as the required log-on protocol to access the computer mainframe, which is the university’s centralized hub that runs all its high-level electronic functions. You indicate the UTEIDs are used solely to access the university’s computer mainframe and they have no other significance other than their use as tools for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public information. Based on your representations and our review, we find the UTEIDs contained in the submitted documents do not constitute public information under section 552.002 of the Government Code. Therefore, we conclude the UTEIDs are not subject to the Act and the university is not required to release them to the requestor.
Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses section 58.007 of the Family Code, which provides, in part:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

(1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;

(2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and

(3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapters B, D, and E.

Fam. Code § 58.007(c); see id. § 51.03(a) (defining “delinquent conduct” for purposes of title 3 of Family Code). Section 58.007(c) is applicable to records of juvenile conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997. The juvenile must have been at least 10 years old and less than 17 years of age when the conduct occurred. See id. § 51.02(2) (defining “child” for purposes of title 3 of Family Code). We find the information at issue involves a juvenile offender, so as to fall within the scope of section 58.007(c). None of the exceptions in section 58.007 apply; therefore, the university must withhold the information you have marked that is subject to the Act under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007(c) of the Family Code.2

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. Id. at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in Industrial Foundation. Id. at 683. Under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right

---

2As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.
to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Id.* at 682. In considering whether a public citizen’s date of birth is private, the Third Court of Appeals looked to the supreme court’s rationale in *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). The supreme court concluded public employees’ dates of birth are private under section 552.102 of the Government Code because the employees’ privacy interest substantially outweighed the negligible public interest in disclosure.\(^3\) *Texas Comptroller*, 354 S.W.3d at 347-48. Based on *Texas Comptroller*, the court of appeals concluded the privacy rights of public employees apply equally to public citizens, and thus, public citizens’ dates of birth are also protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *City of Dallas*, 2015 WL 3394061, at *3. Thus, the university must withhold the dates of birth you have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

In summary, the UTEIDs are not subject to the Act and the university is not required to release them to the requestor. The university must withhold the information you have marked that is subject to the Act under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007(c) of the Family Code. The university must withhold the dates of birth you have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The university must release the remaining information.

Finally, you ask this office to issue a previous determination permitting the university to withhold public citizens’ dates of birth under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. See Gov’t Code § 552.301(a) (allowing governmental body to withhold information subject to previous determination); Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001). After due consideration, we have decided to grant your request on this matter. Therefore, this letter ruling authorizes the university to withhold the dates of birth of public citizens under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. We note common-law privacy is a personal right that lapses at an individual’s death. See *Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref’d n.r.e.); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 620 (1993), 272 (1981), 192 (1978). Therefore, this previous determination authorizes the university to withhold dates of birth of living individuals. This previous determination is not applicable to dates of birth belonging to deceased individuals. We also note a person or a person’s authorized representative has a special right of access under section 552.023 of the Government Code to information that is protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect the person’s privacy interests. See Gov’t Code § 552.023(a); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated

\(^3\)Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a).
when individual requests information concerning himself). Therefore, this previous
determination is not applicable to dates of birth requested by a person or the authorized
representative of a person whose date of birth is at issue. Furthermore, information filed with
a court is not protected by common-law privacy. See Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(17);
Star-Telegram v. Walker, 834 S.W.2d 54 (Tex. 1992) (common-law privacy not applicable
to court-filed document). Accordingly, this previous determination is not applicable to dates
of birth contained in court-filed documents. So long as the elements of law, fact, and
circumstances do not change so as to no longer support the findings set forth above, the
university need not ask for a decision from this office again with respect to this type of
information. See ORD 673 at 7-8 (listing elements of second type of previous determination
under Gov’t Code § 552.301(a)).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the
governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights
and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/
ord_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government
Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for
providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney
General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Lee Seidlits
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division
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