



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 14, 2015

Ms. Yvonne Realivasquez
Public Information Coordinator
Office of the President
Sul Ross State University
P.O. Box C-100
Alpine, Texas 79832

OR2015-19020

Dear Ms. Realivasquez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 579801 (ORR# 15-008).

Sul Ross State University (the "university") received a request for the current contract between the university and Apogee Telecom, Inc. ("Apogee"), for television and internet services. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Apogee. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Apogee of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Apogee explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Apogee has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent

disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Apogee may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the university must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/som

Ref: ID# 579801

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Charles Brady
President
Apogee Telecom, Inc.
715 West 23rd Street, Suite M
Austin, Texas 78705
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Drisdale
Drisdale Sandlin, P.L.L.C.
900 RR 620 South, Suite C101
Austin, Texas 78734
(w/o enclosures)