



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 22, 2015

Ms. Victoria D. Honey
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
Office of the City Attorney
1000 Throckmorton Street, 3rd Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6311

OR2015-07726

Dear Ms. Honey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 560960 (CFW PIR No. W040129).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for the current contract between the city and its utility billing provider. You state the city is releasing some information to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Dataprose, L.L.C. ("Dataprose"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Dataprose of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Dataprose explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Dataprose has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information.

See id. § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Dataprose may have in it. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kristi L. Godden
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KLK/cz

Ref: ID# 560960

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Curtis Nelson
Dataprose, LLC
1122 West Bethel Road
Coppell, Texas 75019
(w/o enclosures)