

November 20, 2013

Ms. Kristen L. Hamilton Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso P.O. Box 1890 El Paso, Texas 79950-1890

OR2013-20275

Dear Ms. Hamilton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 506565.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for e-mails sent and received by city council members and the city manager on a specified date. You state you have made some information available to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. You contend the submitted information is confidential under article 581-33 of the Texas Securities Act (the "TSA"). Article 581-33 of the TSA provides, in relevant part:

A. Liability of Sellers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(2) Untruth or Omission. A person who offers or sells a security (whether or not the security or transaction is exempt under Section 5 or 6 of [the TSA]) by means of an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, is liable to the person buying the security from him, who may sue either at law or in equity for rescission, or for damages if the buyer no longer owns the security. However, a person is not liable if he sustains the burden of proof that either (a) the buyer knew of the untruth or omission or (b) he (the offeror or seller) did not know, and in the exercise of reasonable care could not have known, of the untruth or omission. The issuer of the security (other than a government issuer identified in Section 5M) is not entitled to the defense in clause (b) with respect to an untruth or omission (I) in a prospectus required in connection with a registration statement under Section 7A, 7B, or 7C, or (ii) in a writing prepared and delivered by the issuer in the sale of a security.

V.T.C.S. art. 581-33(A)(2). You also state section 240.10b-5 of title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations makes the submitted information confidential. Section 240.10b-5 provides as follows:

It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, by the use of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails or of any facility of any national securities exchange,

- (a) To employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud,
- (b) To make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, or
- (c) To engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security.

17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5. You state the state and federal securities provisions noted above are part of a regulatory scheme designed to protect the dissemination of information in connection with the offering of securities. As such, you argue these provisions make the submitted information confidential under the Act. However, upon review, we find these provisions neither expressly make information confidential nor prohibit public disclosure of any information for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 487 at 2 (1987) (confidentiality under statutory predecessor to

section 552.101 required express language making certain information confidential or stating information shall not be released to public); see also Open Records Decision No. 658 at 4 (1998) (statutory confidentiality provision must be express, and confidentiality requirement will not be implied from statutory structure). Therefore, we find none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with article 581-33(A)(2) or section 240.10b-5.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); see id. § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). Upon review, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body," unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). See id. § 552.137(a)-(c). Section 552.137 does not apply to an institutional e-mail address, the general e-mail address of a business, an e-mail address of a person who as a contractual relationship with a governmental body, an e-mail address of a vendor who seeks to contract with a governmental body, an e-mail address maintained by a governmental entity for one of its officials or employees, or an e-mail address provided to a governmental body on a letterhead. See id. § 552.137(c). You state the submitted information includes communications with city council members, officials, affiliates, legal counsel, advisors, consultants, and other key parties. Upon review, we find the city must withhold the submitted e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, to the extent they do not fall under the exceptions listed under subsection 552.137(c), unless their owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure. However, to the extent the submitted e-mail addresses are subject to subsection 552.137(c), they must be released.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. To the extent the submitted e-mail addresses do not fall under the exceptions listed under subsection 552.137(c), the city must withhold the submitted e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless their owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/ orl ruling info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Paige Thomas

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

PT/eb

Ref: ID# 506565

Enc. Submitted documents

Requestor c:

(w/o enclosures)